	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/508,870	FURUHATA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Luke Gilligan	3626
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Luke Gilligan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Steve Cho</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner left a voice mail indicating that no response to the Office Action mailed on 11/4/05 had been received.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
C. LUKE GILLIGAN		
PATENT EXAMINER (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	anature – if appropriate)